


REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

CASE NO: 68824/11

(1)	<u>REPORTABLE: NO</u>
(2)	<u>OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: NO</u>
(3)	<u>REVISED.</u>
<u>08/06/2026</u>	
DATE	SIGNATURE

In the matter between:

JULOFIN (PTY) LTD

First Plaintiff

STEVEN KRUGER N.O.

Second Plaintiff

MICHAEL KRUGER N.O.

Third Plaintiff

JOHANNES JAKOBUS KRUGER N.O.

(The second to fourth plaintiffs suing herein in their representative capacities as the trustees for the time being of

THE WINIFRED TRUST (MASTER'S REFERENCE NO IT3037/2008)

and

ABSA BANK LIMITED

Defendant

This order was prepared and authored by the Judge whose name is reflected and is submitted electronically by circulation to the parties' legal representatives by e-mail and uploading it to the electronic file of this matter on Case lines. The date of this order is deemed to be 8 June 2026.

VARIATION OF AN ORDER OR JUDGMENT

TEFFO, J:

[1] On 10 April 2026 I handed down judgment in the above matter wherein the following order was made:

"[81] In the result I make the following order:

- 1. The plaintiffs' claim against the defendant is dismissed.*
- 2. The plaintiffs are to pay the costs of this action jointly and severally, the one paying the other to be absolved."*

[2] Paragraphs 79 and 80 of judgment read as follows:

"[79] Counsel for the defendant sought costs of three counsel and the qualifying fees of the expert witnesses called by the defendant to testify.

[80] The complexity and volume of this matter justify costs of three counsel on Scale B and the qualifying fees of the expert witnesses called by the defendant."

[3] The above order does not reflect the costs order as set out in the judgment. The omission of the costs order was made in error. Furthermore, the defendant sought costs on Scale C and not on Scale B as reflected in paragraph 80 of the judgment. The reference to Scale B in the judgment was made in error.

[4] In terms of Uniform Rule 42(1)(b) the following corrections are made:

4.1 Paragraph 81.2 of the judgment is amended to include the costs of three counsel and the scale as follows:

“The plaintiffs are to pay the costs of this action jointly and severally, the one paying the other to be absolved. These costs include the costs of three counsel on Scale C and the qualifying fees of the expert witnesses called by the defendant.”

4.2 Paragraph 80 of the judgment is amended as follows:

“[80] The complexity and volume of this matter justify costs of three counsel on Scale C and the qualifying fees of the expert witnesses called by the defendant.”

DATED at PRETORIA on this 8th day of JUNE 2026.



M J TEFFO
JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA