

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

GAUTENG LOCAL DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

CASE NO: 115916/2026

DATE: 09-06-2026

DELETE WHICHEVER IS NOT APPLICABLE
(1) REPORTABLE: No
(2) OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES : No
(3) REVISED



SIGNATURE

DATE: 9 June 2026

In the matter between

TSHENOLO MAGRIET KWADI

Applicant

and

MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS

Respondent

J U D G M E N T *EX TEMPORE*

WILSON, J: In this matter, the applicant seeks the correction of the population register to ensure that her identity number no longer reflects her as married to her deceased husband.

The applicant has unfortunately been dealing with an incorrect entry on the population register for some years. Given that the problem is long-standing, is not clear to me why this matter has been brought to the urgent court.

There appears to be a court order directing the

correction that has not been complied with, but no reason has been advanced why an application compelling compliance with that order or declaring the respondents to be in contempt of it is so urgent that it may not be heard in the ordinary course.

When this matter was first enrolled on 4 June 2026, I issued my roll with a set of directives, which required the applicant to make the matter accessible to me on CaseLines or to show cause today why the application should not be struck from the roll. The matter was not made available to me on CaseLines, and is still not accessible to me. Counsel's submissions as to urgency also demonstrate that the matter is manifestly not urgent for the reasons that I have given.

For all those reasons, the application is struck from the roll.



.....
WILSON, J
JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT
9 June 2026