

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

CASE NUMBER: 2024-145483

- | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
| (1) | REPORTABLE: YES/ NO |
| (2) | OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: YES/NO |
| (3) | REVISED: YES/NO |

DATE 06 May 2026

SIGNATURE

In the matter between:

RAWSON RESIDENTIAL FRANCHISEES (PTY) LTD

FIRST APPLICANT

RAWSON COMMERCIAL (PTY) LTD

SECOND APPLICANT

and

DAVICOL (PTY) LTD

RESPONDENT

VARIATION JUDGMENT

MATLAPENG A.J

INTRODUCTION

- [1] On 27 March 2026 this Court handed down its judgment in the above-mentioned matter and omitted to state the date for the return date of the *rule nisi*.
- [2] I hereby and in terms of Uniform Rule 42 (1) (b), vary prayer 2 (Two) which should now read:

“2. A rule nisi is issued calling upon the respondent and all interested persons to show good cause on 27 July 2026, why an order in the following terms should not be made final:

2.1. That the respondent be placed under final liquidation;

2.2. That the costs of the application, on an attorney and client scale, should not be costs in the respondent’s winding-up;



MT Matlapeng
Acting Judge of the High Court, Gauteng
Division, Pretoria

Appearances:

Applicant's Counsel: Adv MM Van Staden .

Applicant's Attorneys: STWB (Mr. S. Hougaard).

Respondents Counsel: Adv Jan Minaar.

Respondents Attorneys: Johan Van Der Vyver Attorneys.