

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(LIMPOPO DIVISION, POLOKWANE)

- (1) REPORTABLE: YES/NO
 (2) OF INTEREST TO THE JUDGES: YES/NO
 (3) REVISED: YES/NO

Naude – Odendaal J

SIGNATURE

DATE

29/04/2025

CASE NO: 5799/2018
 AND
 CASE NO: 2950/2024

In the matter under Case No 5799/2018 between: -

WILLIAM MATSORANG SEKGOPO

Applicant

And

THE PREMIER OF LIMPOPO PROVINCE

First Respondent

MOKGADI JOSEPHINE SEKGOPO

Second Respondent

THE MEC FOR CO-OPERATIVE
 GOVERNANCE AND HUMAN SETTLEMENT
 AND TRADITIONAL AFFAIRS

Third Respondent

THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL
LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND
CLAIMS ("CTLDC")

Fourth Respondent

LIMPOPO PROVINCIAL COMMITTEE ON
TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES &
CLAIMS (CTLDC)

Fifth Respondent

COMMISSIONER KGATLA N.O

Sixth Respondent

DR P.X SHILUBANE N.O

Seventh Respondent

MR T. MAHOSI N.O

Eighth Respondent

DR M.W MHLABA N.O

Ninth Respondent

SEKGOPO TRADITIONAL COUNCIL

Tenth Respondent

SEKGOPO ROYAL FAMILY

Eleventh Respondent

AND in the matter under CASE NO 2950/2024 between:-

SEKGOPO TRADITIONAL COUNCIL

First Applicant

MOKGADI JOSEPHINE SEKGOPO

Second Applicant

and

THE COMMISSION ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP, DISPUTES AND CLAIMS ("CTLDC")	First Respondent
LIMPOPO PROVINCIAL COMMITTEE ON TRADITIONAL LEADERSHIP DISPUTES AND CLAIMS	Second Respondent
COMMISSIONER KGATLA N.O.	Third Respondent
DR. PX SHILUBANE N.O.	Fourth Respondent
DR. MW MHLABA N.O.	Fifth Respondent
MR. T MAHOSI N.O.	Sixth Respondent
WILLIAM MATSORANG SEKGOPO	Seventh Respondent
THE PREMIER OF THE LIMPOPO PROVINCE	Eighth Respondent
THE MEC FOR CO-OPERATIVE GOVERNANCE, HUMAN SETTLEMENTS AND TRADITIONAL AFFAIRS	Ninth Respondent
LIMPOPO PROVINCIAL HOUSE OF TRADITIONAL LEADERS	Tenth Respondent
SEKGOPO ROYAL FAMILY	Eleventh Respondent

HAVING READ the record, and having reconsidered the Judgment in the above two matters heard as one, and having heard Legal Representation on behalf of the parties in the Application for Leave to Appeal, the following order is made:-

CASE NUMBER 5799/2018:

1. I stand by the reasons as per the written judgment handed down in the court *a quo*, in the above matter.
2. The application for leave to appeal is however granted to the Full Court of the Limpopo Division of the High Court, Polokwane, **against paragraphs 264.2 and 264.3** of the order **only**, on the grounds that there exist compelling reason to grant leave to appeal and having considered the court *a quo*'s order it is clear that an error reflects in the order in paragraph 264.2 in that the court *a quo* ordered that the matter be dealt with by the Premier "in accordance with the empowering provisions of Section 59 of the Traditional and Khoi-San Leadership Act, 3 of 2019, read with the Limpopo Traditional Leadership and Institutions Act, 6 of 2005."
3. Costs to be costs in the Appeal.

CASE NUMBER 2950/2024:

4. I stand by the reasons as per the written judgment handed down in the court *a quo*, in the above matter.
5. The application for leave to appeal is however granted to the Full Court of the Limpopo Division of the High Court, Polokwane, on the grounds that in my opinion there exist compelling reason to grant leave to appeal.
6. Costs to be costs in the Appeal.



M. NAUDÉ-ODENDAAL

JUDGE OF THE LIMPOPO DIVISION

OF THE HIGH COURT,

POLOKWANE

POLOKWANE HIGH COURT