

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA**

CASE NO: 2024-032290

1.	REPORTABLE: YES / NO
2.	OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: YES /NO
3.	REVISED: YES / NO
DATE:	09/15/2025
SIGNATURE OF JUDGE:	[Redacted Signature]

In the matter between:

WERNER BAUMANN

EXCIPIENT

and

DB FINE CHEMICALS (PTY) LTD

1ST RESPONDENT

DION BAUMANN

2ND RESPONDENT

In Re:

DB FINE CHEMICALS (PTY) LTD

1ST PLAINTIFF

DION BAUMANN

2ND PLAINTIFF

and

WERNER BAUMANN

DEFENDANT

JUDGMENT

HERSHENSOHN AJ

- [1] This is a variation order envisaged in terms of Rule 42(1) (b) of the Uniform Rules of Court relating to the judgment I handed down electronically, by circulation to the parties' legal representatives on 6 May 2025.
- [2] The Rule provides that the court may, in addition to any other powers it may have, *mero motu* rescind or vary an order in which there is an ambiguity, or a patent error or omission, but only to the extent of such ambiguity, error or omission.¹
- [3] On 7 May 2025, it came to my attention that the order contained in the judgement, did not include the scale of costs awarded to the parties.
- [4] In correcting the order of the 6 May 2025 in terms of Rule 42 of the Uniform Rules of Court, I amend the order to read as follows:

4.1. The costs awarded in terms of paragraphs 3 and 4 of my order granted on 6 May 2025 are to be party and party costs on scale “B”.


HERSHENSOHN AJ

¹ Rule 42(1)(b) of the Uniform Rules of Court.

ACTING JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

This Judgment was handed down electronically by circulation to the parties' and or parties' representatives by email and by being uploaded to CaseLines. The date and time for the hand down is deemed to be 10h00 on this 9th day of May 2025.

Appearances

Counsel for the Excipient:	Adv. N du Plessis
instructed by	AH Stander & Agenbag Inc

Counsel for the Respondents:	Adv. L Van Gass
Instructed by	Van Greunen and Associates Inc

Date of Hearing:	24 April 2025
Date of Amendment:	9 May 2025