

IN THE LABOUR COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA
(HELD AT JOHANNESBURG)

CASE NO J283/2011

BLESSING MAHLALELA

Applicant

and

OFFICE OF THE PENSION FUNDS
ADJUDICATOR

Respondent

VARIATION OF JUDGMENT

LAGRANGE, J

Introduction

- 1] It has been brought to my attention that there is an obvious error in paragraph [10] of the judgment in this matter which was handed down on 23 February 2011. The following sentence appears in paragraph [10] of the judgment:

“When this application was finally brought, the applicant challenged the impending disciplinary enquiry itself which he had any length of on 10 February 2011.”

(emphasis added)

- 2] The underlined portion is clearly nonsensical and an obvious typographical error, not picked up in final editing.

3] Accordingly, paragraph [10] of the judgment is amended by the substitution of the sentence above with the following:

“When this application was finally brought, the applicant challenged the impending disciplinary enquiry itself which he had received notice of on 10 February 2011.”



ROBERT LAGRANGE

JUDGE OF THE LABOUR COURT

Date of variation of order: 14 June 2011