



IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

(GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA)

DELETE WHICHEVER IS NOT APPLICABLE	
(1) REPORTABLE: YES / NO.	
(2) OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: YES / NO.	
☒ REVISED.	
2/11/2023 DATE	 SIGNATURE

Case Number: 25559/2022

In the matter between:

NATHAN EDWIN SASSMAN

First Applicant

DESIREE ELIZABETH SASSMAN

Second Applicant

And

HARRISON HURSINEY PIENAAR

First Respondent

HEIDI JULIA PIENAAR

Second Respondent

This judgment was prepared and authored by the Judge whose name is reflected and is handed down electronically by circulation to the Parties/their legal representatives by email and by uploading it to the electronic file of this matter on CaseLines. The date for handing down is deemed to be November 2023.


JUDGMENT: APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL

POTTERILL J

[1] I have studied the grounds of appeal, read the applicants' and respondents' heads of argument and listened to oral argument as to why leave to appeal must be granted or not.

[2] My judgment fully addresses the points raised and I am satisfied no other court could reasonably come to another conclusion.

[3] The application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs.



S. POTTERILL

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

CASE NO: 25559/2022

DATE OF HEARING: 31 October 2023

FOR THE APPLICANTS: ADV. M. KUFA

INSTRUCTED BY: Machaba Attorneys

FOR THE RESPONDENTS: ADV. M. JACOBS

INSTRUCTED BY: Klagsbruin Edelstein Bosman Du Plessis Inc.

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 2 November 2023