



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA  
(GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA)**

**Case No: 60618/2022**

In the matter between:

**THE REGISTRAR OF ANIMAL IMPROVEMENT**

Applicant

and

**THE CHIANINA CATTLE BREEDERS'  
SOCIETY OF SOUTH AFRICA**

Respondent

DELETE WHICHEVER IS NOT APPLICABLE

- (1) REPORTABLE: YES / ☒ NO  
(2) OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES  
(3) REVISED.

DATE *19 March 2024* SIG

---

**JUDGMENT**

---

**HF JACOBS, AJ:**


[1] The first respondent in the main application (the Registrar) applies for leave to appeal against the judgment of 16 February 2024. The principles

applicable to applications for leave to appeal are set out in *Hunter*<sup>1</sup>.

[2] The grounds for appeal listed in the applicants "Notice of Appeal" dated 1 March 2024 and the written submissions contained in its heads of argument amplified by the oral submissions made in support of the application for leave to appeal revisit those points dealt with in the main judgment and I do not intend dealing with each point again in this application.

[3] In my opinion the proposed appeal has no prospect of success and there exists no compelling reason why leave to appeal should be granted.

[4] The application for leave to appeal is refused with costs.

---

H F JACOBS  
ACTING JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT  
GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

**Delivered:** This judgment was handed down electronically by circulation to the parties' legal representatives by e-mail. The date and time for hand-down is deemed to be 14h00 on the 19<sup>th</sup> of March 2024.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Hunter v Financial Services Board* 2017 JBR 0941 (GP); *Caratco (Pty) Ltd v Independent Advisory (Pty) Ltd* 2020 (5) SA 35 (SCA); *Minister of Justice and Constitutional Development and Others v Southern Africa Litigation Centre and Others* 2016 (3) SA 317 (SCA);

**APPERANCES**

Applicant's counsel:                      Adv SL Shangisa SC  
                                                         Adv L Rakgwale

Applicant's attorneys:                      State Attorney

Respondent's counsel:                      Adv H De Wet SC

Respondent's attorneys:                      Van Heerden's Incorporated