

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA

GAUTENG DIVISION, JOHANNESBURG

CASE NO: 008531/2022

DATE: 23-05-2023


DELETE WHICHEVER IS NOT APPLICABLE

(1) REPORTABLE: (NO.)

(2) OF INTEREST TO OTHER JUDGES: (NO.)

(3) REVISED. ✓

DATE 14/08/2023

SIGNATURE 

10 In the matter between

UNIVERSITY OF MPUMALANGA

Applicant

and

MAGMA MASEMOLA ATTORNEYS

INCORPORATED

First Respondent

LILLIANE EFTHMIADES

Second Respondent

J U D G M E N T

LEAVE TO APPEAL

20

WEPENER, J: This is an application for leave to appeal. It is directed at the costs order only and further it is limited that it is directed at a portion of the cost order only.

The argument is that a certain portion of the costs should either have been paid by each party or by the


respondent. That further limits the issue that the applicant wishes to take on appeal.

Such an issue is extremely limited and, in my view, does not warrant the attention and further costs in this matter. It does not warrant the attention of a higher court nor the further incurrence of costs in this matter.

If one has regard to the outcome of the matter, I believe that the costs order was appropriately included therein.

10 I am not convinced that another court will come to a different conclusion on this extremely limited issue.

The application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs.



WEPENER, J

JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT

DATE: ...14 August 2023

20

I wish to record that this judgment was only received by my secretary for editing purposes on the 14th August 2023 at 13:26 and my office did not receive any enquiry regarding this judgment on the application for leave to appeal prior to today.

